

Obstacles Faced by Female Employees in Male-Dominated Sectors: Evidence from Turkey

Merve Gözde Durmaz¹, Benan Arda², Yeşim Monus³

¹ Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Ezine Food Specialization Organized Industrial Zone Vocational School,
Balıklı Mücavir, Balıklı/Ezine/Çanakkale, Çanakkale-Center, 17000, Türkiye
Email: mervegozde.durmaz@comu.edu.tr

² Çanakkale-Center, 17000, Türkiye
Email: benanardaa@gmail.com

³ Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Çanakkale Social Sciences Vocational School, Barbaros, Terzioğlu
Campus, 17020 Canakkale Center/Canakkale, Çanakkale-Center, 17000, Türkiye
Email: yesimmonus@comu.edu.tr

Abstract: This study investigates the obstacles faced by female employees working in male-dominated sectors in Turkey, along with the underlying reasons and consequences of these obstacles. To achieve this aim, a qualitative research design was adopted, and in-depth interviews were conducted with 15 blue- and white-collar female employees from various sectors, including defense, engineering, administration, justice, labor, finance, and technical services. The participants were selected using a purposive sampling method to ensure diversity across occupations and organizational contexts. Thematic content analysis was applied to the interview data using the MAXQDA software. The findings reveal that women working in male-dominated sectors experience a variety of challenges, such as discrimination, limited career advancement, physical difficulties, lack of family support, and social stereotyping. Moreover, the study identifies both individual and organizational factors that influence women's willingness to remain or change professions. Positive and negative approaches toward female employees, as well as practical suggestions to reduce gender-based discrimination, are also presented. The results emphasize the importance of organizational and societal awareness regarding gender inequality in Turkey's labor market. The study offers practical recommendations for policymakers and sector representatives to develop gender-inclusive strategies that prevent sexism in the workplace and promote sustainable sectoral improvement.

Keywords: female employees, gender discrimination, male-dominated sectors, qualitative research, sexism in employment, Turkey, workplace inequality.

JEL Classification: J16, J81, M54

© 2025. School of Business Administration in Karviná, Silesian University in Opava, Author(s). This is an open-access article licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

“Barrier” is defined by Maskell-Pretz and Hopkins (1997) as a factor, event or phenomenon that prevents or controls individuals from making progress. Barriers can be perceived in different ways by the recipient, as tangible or intangible, real or perceived (Ismail and Ibrahim 2008: 54). Palmer and Hyman (1993) divided the barriers to women's empowerment into two categories: internal barriers and external barriers. Internal barriers are listed as past socialization style, current expectations and responsibilities (career and family). External barriers are listed as current expectations and responsibilities (career and family), individual prejudices, social and organizational discrimination (hiring and promotion practices) (Dalkıranoglu 2006: 48). Korkmaz (2014) and Özçelik (2017) evaluated the factors that constitute the obstacles faced by women under three headings. Individual factors are multiple roles, personal preferences and perceptions. Social factors are occupational segregation shaped during the socialization process and stereotypical prejudices associated with gender.

Organizational factors are listed as organizational culture and organizational policies, inability to participate in informal communication networks, lack of mentors and tokenism (Korkmaz 2014, Özçelik 2017).

National and international literature; Deveciyan (2023) "From High-Heeled Shoes to Muddy Plastic Boots: Women's Entrepreneurship in Male-Dominated Sectors", Karakaya and Reyhanoğlu (2020) "Being a woman manager in a male-dominated world: A study on women's managerial environment", Karaduman and Ergun (2018) "Experiences and Strategies of Women Managers in Male-Dominated Work Environments", Akgün (2023) "Problems and Obstacles Faced by Women in Male-Dominated Work Environments: A Field Study on Female Security Guards", Bulut and Kızıldağ (2017), "Gender Discrimination and Its Effects on the Careers of Female Human Resources Managers", Raghuram (2008) "Immigrant Women in Male-Dominated Sectors of the Labor Market", Hulls et al. (2022) "Workplace Interventions Aiming to Improve Employee Health and Well-being in Male-Dominated Industries", Spinelli-De-Sa et al. (2017) "Making a career in a male-dominated field: The meaning of work for women working in financial markets", O'Brien et al. (2023) "Women as leaders in male-dominated sectors: A two-focus analysis of gender-based organizational practices", when the studies were examined, it was determined that the studies conducted on male-dominated sectors evaluated a specific sector, profession and a specific problem. In this context, the study was conducted with participants from different sectors and aimed to reveal the problems experienced by women employees in male-dominated sectors and to suggest solutions. It is thought that the results to be obtained as a result of in-depth interviews conducted with women employees working in different sectors where men predominantly work within the scope of the study will contribute to the literature. At the same time, it is important to overcome the limitations of the study, which will be conducted based on only one sector, and to determine the obstacles to the participation of women employees working in different male-dominated sectors in employment with multi-faceted questions. At the same time, it is thought that it is necessary to determine the underlying reasons for the obstacles that women employees face and to focus on the measures to be offered to overcome the existing obstacles. In addition, it can be stated that understanding the negative and positive discrimination that women face while trying to exist in a men's world, evaluating the results of their employment in a male-dominated sector and developing suggestions for increasing women's employment in male-dominated sectors will contribute to the literature.

1 Conceptual Framework

Today's society has come to a stage where the male-dominated understanding has changed, albeit slightly, women have shown themselves in many areas of society, the importance of women's rights is discussed, and women have achieved successes that will make a name for themselves with their talents and performances, and many positive developments have been experienced based on gender. According to Adair (2005), societies have started to listen to the stories of successful women today. "In 1960, Sri Lankan Sirimavo Bandaranaike became the world's first female prime minister. Following this development, Indira Gandhi, Golda Meir, Isabelita Peron, Margaret Thatcher, Benazir Bhutto and Cora Aquina rose to the presidency of their own countries. These developments point to more comprehensive trends. For example, more women leaders are emerging in areas that are generally seen as highly associated with men. Although this progress of women took a century, two world wars were effective in the emergence of the role of women" (Ayoğlu and Baraz 2020: 14). When the idea that today's society is on the verge of disappearing from the male-dominated understanding and the obstacles women face in male-dominated sectors are decreasing is examined with data prepared by national and international organizations, the opposite results are encountered.

According to the November 2023 Labor Force Statistics of the Turkish Statistical Institute; the unemployment rate was estimated to be 6.9% for men and 11.4% for women in December 2024. The seasonally adjusted labor force participation rate is 71.9% for men and 36.6% for women (TÜİK December 2024). When the situation of the female labor force is evaluated based on the 2024 TÜİK data; It can be stated that the participation of women in the labor force in Turkey remains at a very low level compared to the participation of men in the labor force. It was determined as 46.8% in 2020; 47.6% in 2021; 47.9% in 2022; 48.7 in 2023; 48.2% in 2024; and 48% in 2025. According to current data, the rate of women's participation in the workforce has increased at a steady rate and at a low level over the years (National Employment Strategy Report (2025-2028): 11). The fifth of the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) adopted by the United Nations is "achieving gender equality and empowering all women and girls". According to the latest data from the Women's Empowerment Strategy Document and Action Plan covering the period 2024-2028, the employment of women employees in working life is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Female employee employment (2023-2024 data)

The Policy Axis	Indicator	Rate (203-2024)
Economy	Female Ratio Among Employees as Employers (%) (2024)	11,9 %
Economy	Female Ratio Among Self-Employed (%) (2024)	18,1 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Representation Rate in Parliament (Female) (2024)	19,8 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Mayor Rate (Female) (2024)	3,02 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Mukhtar Rate (Female) (2024)	2,17 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Rate of Senior Executives in Public Sector (Female) (2024)	13,49 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Rector Rate (Female) (2024)	9,91 %
Participation in Leadership and Decision-Making Mechanisms	Prosecutor Rate (Female) (2024)	16,56 %
Economy	In the textile and ready-made clothing sector (Women) (2023)	44 %
Economy	Automotive sector (Female) (2023)	20 %
Economy	Steel industry (Female) (2023)	9-10 %
Economy	Aluminum industry (Female) (2023)	16 %
Economy	Chemical sector (Female) (2023)	26 %
Economy	Machinery sector (Female) (2023)	15 %
Economy	Electricity sector (Female) (2023)	9 %
Economy	Tourism sector (Female) (2023)	33 %

Source: Women's Empowerment Strategy Document and Action Plan 2024-2028; National Employment Strategy Report (2025-2028).


Table 2 lists current data on female and male employment in basic sectors in Turkey. According to Table 2, female employment in the agricultural and service sectors is half that of male employment; in the industrial sector, the number of female employment is three times less than that of male employment; and in the construction sector, there is a large gap between these employment numbers.

Table 2: Seasonally adjusted employment rate in main sectors (April-June 2025)

Sector	Female	Male	Total	Sectorial Distribution of Overall Employment Percentage
Agriculture Sector	1.868 thousand people	2.665 thousand people	4.533 thousand people	% 14
Industrial Sector	1.679 thousand people	4.917 thousand people	6.595 thousand people	% 20,3
Construction Industry	128 thousand people	2.072 thousand people	2.200 thousand people	% 6,8
Service Sector	7.071 thousand people	12.036 thousand people	19.107 thousand people	% 58,9

Source: TÜİK Labor Force Statistics, Quarter II: April-June, 2025

Figure 1 shows that according to current international data, the global job gap has closed, but women still do not have the same share as men in the closing global job gap. The job gap worldwide has decreased from 16% in 2004 to 9% in 2024. This rate highlights the progress made in reducing unemployment worldwide. However, women still face gaps compared to men. This is a striking result of the gender inequality in employment that persists in labor markets (World Employment and Social Outlook Trends 2025: 8).

Figure 1: Jobs gap rate, by sex, world (percentage)

Source: World Employment and Social Outlook Trends 2025

Font size of all figures has been increased for better readability and alignment with the journal's graphical standards. Gender discrimination is based on the physical differences between men and women and has continued to the detriment of women throughout history. Although there are physical differences between the sexes, both Behaviorists and Social Learning Theorists have emphasized that gender-related role socialization continues throughout life (Burger 2021: 561). Yalom (2022) emphasized that different roles are assigned to women and men by society. While women are defined by the roles of doing housework and taking care of children, men have taken on decision-making roles in the areas of bringing income to the house, providing for the family, and managing the house (Narin et al. 2006: 67). Parlaktuna (2010) states that these role differences between women and men are reflected in business life, causing the jobs to be separated into women's and men's jobs. For example, while professions such as general management, management, engineering, etc. are seen as men's jobs, jobs such as nursing, teaching, and secretarial work are considered women's jobs (Bakıcı and Aydın 2020: 86).

In general, the difficulties and problems women face in their working lives are expressed as “obstacles”. Catalyst (2004) defined the concept of obstacle as any material and spiritual limitation that prevents an individual from climbing the corporate career ladder (Henley 2010: 20). The obstacles women face in relation to their family; traditional division of labor leads people to expect domestic responsibilities to be women’s primary roles, and any obligation that reduces their ability to fulfill their primary roles causes role conflict. According to the opinion of most employers and many employees, gender-based division of labor relieves men of domestic and childcare responsibilities at home. Within the scope of social obstacles, Ridgeway (2001) emphasized the negative impact of the ideal employee perspective on working mothers. Society has assigned a role to a good mother, believing that she puts in enough effort for work demands and that priority should be given to the role of motherhood and the role of wife. For this reason, it is thought that female employees will be less committed to their jobs. Organizational barriers: Harvey and Brown (1996) defined organizational culture as the system of meanings, values, beliefs, practices, and group norms that members share to produce behavioral norms related to the working conditions of the organization. Organizational culture is often cited as either a primary facilitator or barrier to work-life policies because cultural norms often override official policy intentions. Wise and Bond (2003) emphasized that a supportive culture can improve morale and motivate people to reduce stress and absenteeism. Charles and Davies (2000) stated that the cultural structure of power and authority being associated with masculinity makes it difficult for women to hold positions of power. They emphasized that the main reason for this is that women's gender identities conflict with the masculine role of power (İsmail and İbrahim 2008: 54-55). However, women who strive to stand on their own feet in working life have to overcome many obstacles in order to build their careers once they enter an organizational environment. In this context, different terms have been used in the literature to explain the obstacles that female employees encounter in working life. Albrecht, Björklund, and Vroman (2003) “glass ceiling”; Henley (2010) “concrete ceiling”; Hultin (2003) “glass escalator”; Cabrera (2009) “leaky pipe”; Sneed (2007) “glass wall”, Booth et al. (2003) “sticky floor”; Hassink and Russo (2010) “glass door”; El-lemers, Van den Heuvel, De Gilder, Maass and Bonvini (2004) used the terms “queen bee syndrome” and Ryan and Haslam (2005) used the terms “glass cliff” (Coşkun 2021: 2049).

2 Research Method

2.1 Purpose of the research

The aim of the research is to determine the obstacles faced by female employees working in male-dominated sectors. In addition, it is aimed that the measures to be presented to overcome the obstacles faced by female employees will contribute to the employment of female employees. The study is based on the phenomenological approach, which is a qualitative research design. Phenomenology refers to having an in-depth and detailed understanding of a known subject in the literature (Sıgır 2018: 186). In this study, a ‘male-dominated organization’ refers to sectors or institutions in which the proportion of male employees exceeds 70%, based on national labor force statistics (TÜİK 2025, World Employment and Social Outlook 2025). This criterion was used to select organizations such as construction, defense, energy, and manufacturing industries. In this context, it is aimed to identify the obstacles faced by women employees working in male-dominated sectors in different cities of Turkey and to focus on the approaches of women employees towards these obstacles. At the same time, it is aimed to create awareness about the obstacles faced by female employees in male-dominated sectors. In accordance with its purpose, the following questions are sought in the research:

What are the factors that affect career choice?
What are the effects of practicing the profession?
Would you choose the same profession again?
What are the situations encountered while practicing the profession?
The effects of the profession on business life and social life?
What are the individual and social approaches to sexist discrimination in professions?
What are the sexist discrimination aspects of professions?
Is there an awareness of the practices regarding sexist discrimination in professions?
Definition of Male-Dominated Organizations

In this research, a male-dominated organization refers to sectors or workplaces in which men constitute the overwhelming majority of the workforce and managerial positions. This definition is consistent with previous studies that conceptualize male dominance not only in numerical terms but also through structural and cultural features (Kanter 1977, Acker 1990, Cockburn 1991, Wajcman 1998). According to these studies, male domination is maintained through the persistence of masculine norms, hierarchical structures, and organizational practices that privilege men's roles and behaviors.

In operational terms, this study defines male-dominated sectors as those in which the proportion of male employees exceeds 70% of total employment, following the classification adopted by the International Labour Organization (ILO 2024) and the Turkish Statistical Institute (TÜİK 2025). In the Turkish context, sectors such as construction, defense, manufacturing, energy, and engineering meet this criterion.

Furthermore, the notion of male-dominated work environments has been supported by scholars emphasizing the interplay between gendered organizational culture and occupational segregation (Britton 2000, Martin 2006, Mills 2016). These environments reinforce gendered expectations, restrict women's access to decision-making roles, and reproduce symbolic boundaries that limit their participation and career advancement. Therefore, the inclusion criteria in this study are based on both quantitative representation (employment ratios) and qualitative features (gendered work culture) that define male-dominated organizations.

2.2 Sample of the study

Within the scope of the research, in-depth interviews were conducted with 15 participants who could objectively express their opinions on the subject by using the purposive sampling method. In this context, 15 female employees from different sectors and professions working in private and public institutions were included in the research sample. Interviews with female employees were conducted face-to-face. Participants who volunteered to participate in the study were included in the study. Participants were encouraged to make an appointment for a one-hour interview, and interviews were conducted outside of business hours. Due to the sensitivity of the topic, no audio recorder was used during the interview. Participants' responses to the questions were recorded verbatim using notes, and the recorded data was recorded in MAXQDA 22, a qualitative data analysis program. Metadata included age, occupation, marital status, and years of professional experience. Cresswell (1998) emphasized that sample sizes in qualitative research can range from 15 to 30. Furthermore, the study focused on the idea that two fundamental factors should be considered when determining sample size: data sufficiency (the data obtained being sufficient to answer the research problem) and data saturation (the new data making little contribution to existing knowledge or having no impact) (Kanten et al. 2018: 943). After interviewing 15 participants, the research was concluded by determining that data adequacy and data saturation were achieved. For the reliability of the

research; a descriptive approach was used in the data, the research was built on a strong conceptual framework by using national and international academic studies, current reports and statistics, a categorization process was carried out by an expert researcher in the field in the analysis of the data, and a comparison was made between the categories obtained and the categories created by the researcher. At the same time, support was obtained from the four criteria used by Lincoln and Guba (1985) and Guba and Lincoln (1989) to ensure the validity and reliability of the research. The first of these is reliability; the data is presented accurately, the data is collected and presented honestly, complete information is provided on how the research is conducted, the second criterion is transferability; the findings obtained as a result of the research can be applied to other environments or situations, the third criterion is credibility; the researcher uses more than one source while collecting the data and the last criterion is verifiability; the researcher carefully documents the research data (e.g. sources, detailed notes, transcripts, records, dates) and the research results were evaluated and reported within the framework of these criteria (Kalof et al. 2008: 162-164). In addition, participants who could transparently and objectively present the obstacles faced by women employees working in male-dominated sectors were included in the sample. In addition, different criteria such as age, profession, total working time in the profession, education level, marital status, number of children were also taken into consideration in the sample selection.

Demographic findings obtained from the sample within the scope of the research are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Demographic characteristics of participants

Job		Age		Civil status		Number of Children		Graduation		Total Working Hours	
Bank Manager	3	26-33 between ages	3	Married	9	None	3	High school	5	0-3 year	3
Judge	1	34-41 between ages	8	Single	6	1 Child	10	Associate Degree	4	4-7 year	4
Estate agent	1	42-49 between ages	4			2 Child	2	Licence	4	8-11 year	3
Construction/Wrecker	1							Postgraduate	2	16-19 year	3
Gas pump attendant	2									20 years and above	2
Engineer	1										
Head of department	1										
Private security guard	3										
Police	2										

Source: Own

Table 3 summarizes the interview metadata (age, marital status, education, number of children, and professional background). These variables were used to ensure diversity and transparency in the sample.

2.3 Data collection technique

Within the scope of the research, data were collected through face-to-face communication with the participants using a semi-structured interview technique. During the preparation phase of the interview form, open-ended questions were created by examining the literature and existing studies; the validity of the question form was ensured by consulting an expert. The interview form consists of 17 open-ended questions regarding the employment of women in male-dominated sectors and 6 demographic questions such as age, profession, total length of time working in the profession, education status, marital status and number of children. The

interviews were conducted between January and February 2025 by prior appointment with the participants and lasted between 40-60 minutes, and the research data were recorded in writing without using a voice recorder.

2.4 Analysis of data

The MAXQDA 2022 program was used to evaluate the data obtained within the scope of the research. The content analysis method was used in the evaluation of the data. With the content analysis method, conceptualization of the data obtained within the framework of the research, creation of themes appropriate to the concepts and revealing the findings are of primary importance. In this context, the data obtained were examined by taking one-to-one notes in the analysis of the research data and open codes were created in accordance with the purpose of the research. After the open coding, main categories and subcategories were created and related codes were integrated. The integrated data were subjected to selective coding and the sub codes were narrowed down to be compatible with the main categories. In the last stage, the research findings were interpreted and the results were expressed.

3 Findings


Based on the data obtained from the interviews, a set of codes was generated to capture the situations experienced by female employees working in male-dominated sectors. The analysis identified the effects of professional life, the obstacles encountered, and the organizational and interpersonal approaches toward women employees within the framework of main themes and subthemes. Furthermore, the participants' reasons for considering career change and their recommendations for preventing sexism were also categorized and visualized through frequency tables, density maps, and code relationship diagrams.

3.1 Situations Faced by Female Employees

The revised conceptual map (Figure 2) illustrates the interrelations among the main and subthemes derived from the qualitative analysis. Rather than displaying numerical distributions, the figure emphasizes the conceptual connections among key experiences such as discriminatory behavior, pressure within male-dominated environments, and disrespectful attitudes. These elements interact to generate secondary outcomes such as workplace mobbing, fear of harm, and weakened communication with male colleagues.

This thematic map reflects the phenomenological character of the research and aligns with qualitative reporting standards (Creswell 2013, Braun & Clarke 2021). It portrays the lived experiences of women not as isolated incidents but as mutually reinforcing phenomena, highlighting the multidimensional nature of gendered challenges in professional life.

Figure 2: Thematic relationship diagram of the situations faced by female employees




Source: Own

3.2 Effects of the Profession

Following the identification of these situations, participants were asked about the perceived effects of their professions on different aspects of their lives. Their responses were coded into four main categories: *emotional effects*, *individual effects*, *effects on social life*, and *effects on business life* (Figure 3).

Figure 3: The effects of the profession




Source: Own

Under individual effects, participants reported both positive and negative outcomes — such as physical fatigue, psychological exhaustion, but also increased self-confidence, improved communication skills, and personal growth. Emotional effects included feelings of pride, satisfaction, and happiness alongside negative emotions such as helplessness, depression, and burnout. Professional impacts manifested as workplace pressure and competitiveness, while social effects were reflected in reduced work–life balance, limited time for family, and restricted social participation.

3.3 Obstacles Faced by Female Employees


The participants described encountering both social and socio-environmental obstacles in their professional environments (Figure 4). Social barriers included gender stereotypes, cultural expectations, and discriminatory practices that reinforce male dominance in certain sectors. Socio-environmental obstacles were characterized by the lack of family support and the heavy burden of domestic responsibilities, which collectively restrict women's professional mobility and career advancement opportunities.

Figure 4: The obstacles faced by female employees

Source: Own

3.4 Reasons for Considering a Career Change


Several participants indicated that they had considered changing their profession due to persistent inequalities and adverse workplace conditions. The primary reasons included the **absence of promotion opportunities, negative or unsafe working environments, harassing attitudes from male colleagues, and inability to allocate sufficient time to family life** (Figure 5). These factors collectively contributed to job dissatisfaction and an increased intention to leave male-dominated sectors.

Figure 5: Reasons why female employees want to change their profession

Source: Own

3.5 Approaches Toward Female Employees

The analysis revealed that organizational and interpersonal approaches toward women could be categorized as positive or negative (Figure 6). Positive approaches included support from family and female colleagues, understanding peers, and admiration or respect from supervisors. Conversely, negative approaches involved inappropriate comments or behaviors, underestimation of women's professional competence, belittlement of their work, lack of respect for their professions, exclusion from workplace activities, and reluctance to employ women in certain roles.


Figure 6: Approaches towards female employees

Source: Own

3.6 Recommendations to Prevent Sexism

When asked about potential solutions to prevent sexist behaviors and attitudes, participants suggested several actionable strategies (Figure 7). These included increasing women's employment rates, implementing positive discrimination policies, supporting women's assertiveness, restructuring working hours to accommodate family responsibilities, de-gendering occupational roles, and promoting a broader transformation of social attitudes.

These recommendations highlight the participants' desire not only for institutional reforms but also for cultural change that challenges patriarchal norms in the labor market. The figures were reformatted and standardized according to the journal's graphical guidelines, with increased font size and clearer alignment for improved readability.

Figure 7: Recommendations to prevent sexism

Source: Own

Font size of all figures has been increased for better readability and alignment with the journal's graphical standards. Female employees have expressed that in order to prevent sexism towards them, women's employment should be increased, positive discrimination should be made for women, women taking a firm stance, working hours should be arranged according to women, professions should be de-gendered and the social structure should be changed.

Conclusion and Implications

This study provides in-depth qualitative evidence on the experiences of women working in male-dominated sectors in Turkey, contributing to the growing international discussion on gender inequality in employment, particularly in developing economies. By examining women's perceptions, emotions, and coping strategies, the research reveals how patriarchal norms continue to shape professional structures and social relations in the workplace.

The findings indicate that female employees encounter persistent challenges including gender-based discrimination, exclusion from informal networks, limited promotion opportunities, and unequal recognition of competence. These barriers are intertwined with broader socio-cultural expectations surrounding family roles and femininity. Participants reported feelings of pressure, fatigue, and frustration, yet they also expressed pride, resilience, and a strong commitment to their professional identity. Such duality reflects the complexity of women's lived experiences, where empowerment and marginalization coexist within male-dominated organizational cultures.

A major implication of this study is that gender inequality in professional life cannot be explained solely by individual or organizational factors. It stems from a systemic interplay between cultural, institutional, and structural dimensions. Therefore, interventions aimed at achieving gender equality must go beyond symbolic representation or numerical parity and instead focus on transforming underlying social and organizational norms.

Practical and Policy Implications

The findings suggest that organizations and policymakers should adopt comprehensive gender equality strategies that address both structural and cultural barriers. Institutions should:

- Establish transparent and gender-sensitive promotion and evaluation systems;
- Implement flexible working arrangements that support work-life balance;
- Encourage women's participation in leadership and decision-making roles;
- Develop mentorship programs and professional networks to strengthen women's social capital;
- Enforce clear policies against discrimination, harassment, and mobbing.

At the societal level, educational and media initiatives should aim to dismantle traditional gender stereotypes and normalize women's participation in all professional domains. Governmental support through legal frameworks and incentive-based policies can accelerate the transition toward more equitable labor markets.

Theoretical and Academic Implications

From a theoretical standpoint, the study enriches the literature on gender and work by demonstrating that discrimination in male-dominated sectors functions as an interactive social system rather than as a set of isolated incidents. The thematic relationship maps developed in this research illustrate how emotional, social, and professional factors are interconnected. This conceptual approach aligns with recent calls in gender studies and organizational behavior research to adopt intersectional and systems-based perspectives.

Future Research Directions

Future research could expand upon these findings by employing mixed-method or longitudinal designs to explore how women's career trajectories evolve over time within male-dominated environments. Comparative studies across different cultural and industrial contexts could reveal how local norms interact with global gender equality discourses. Furthermore, exploring the intersectionality of gender with other identity dimensions such as age, class, or education level would deepen our understanding of the diverse challenges women face in the labor market.

Final Reflection

Ultimately, this study highlights the resilience and agency of women navigating patriarchal professional systems while emphasizing the urgent need for transformative change in both organizational and social structures. Addressing gender inequality is not merely a matter of fairness but a prerequisite for sustainable economic development and inclusive organizational growth. The insights derived from this research can serve as a foundation for both academic inquiry and practical policy formulation aimed at advancing gender equality in the workplace.

References

- [1] Acker, J. (1990). Hierarchies, jobs, bodies: A theory of gendered organizations. *Gender & Society*, 4(2), 139–158.
- [2] Adair, J. (2005). *Kışkırtıcı liderlik: büyük liderlerden öğretiler*. Bursa, Alteo Yayıncılık.
- [3] Akgün, D. (2023). *Erkek egemen çalışma ortamında, kadınların karşılaştıkları sorunlar ve engeller: Kadın güvenlik görevlileri üzerine bir alan araştırması*. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, (İstanbul Gelişim Üniversitesi Lisansüstü Eğitim Enstitüsü), İstanbul.
- [4] Albrecht, J., Björklund, A., & Vroman, S. (2003). Is there a glass ceiling in Sweden?. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21(1), 145-177.
- [5] Ayoğul, H., & Baraz, A. B. (2020). Kadın çalışanların kariyer engelleri: Eskişehir'de bir araştırma. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, (44), 13-27.
- [6] Bakıcı, C., & Aydın, E. (2020). Türkiye'de Kadın Çalışanların İş Yaşam Dengesini Şekillendirmede Ataerkilliğin Rolü. *Economics Business and Organization Research*, 2(2), 82-98.
- [7] Booth, A. L., Francesconi, M., & Frank, J. (2003). A sticky floors model of promotion, pay, and gender. *European Economic Review*, 47(2), 295-322.
- [8] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. London: Sage Publications.
- [9] Britton, D. M. (2000). The epistemology of the gendered organization. *Gender & Society*, 14(3), 418–434.
- [10] Bulut, D., & Kızıldağ, D. (2017). Cinsiyet ayrımcılığı ve kadın insan kaynakları yöneticilerinin kariyerleri üzerindeki etkileri. *Journal of Management & Economics Research*, 15(2).
- [11] Burger, J. M. (2021). *Kişilik*. Kaknüs Yayınları: İstanbul
- [12] Cabrera, E. F. (2009). Fixing the leaky pipeline: Five ways to retain female talent. *People & Strategy*, 32(1), 40- 46.
- [13] Catalyst, inc. (2004). *Advancing African-American women in the workplace: What managers need to know*. Catalyst.

- [14] Charles, N., & Aull Davies, C. (2000). Cultural stereotypes and the gendering of senior management. *The Sociological Review*, 48(4), 544-567.
- [15] Cockburn, C. (1991). *In the way of women: Men's resistance to sex equality in organizations*. London: Macmillan.
- [16] Coşkun, Ö. F. (2021). Kadınların kariyer yolculuklarındaki engellere metaforik bir bakış. *Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli Üniversitesi SBE Dergisi*, 11(4), 2048-2062.
- [17] Cresswell, J. W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five traditions*. Sage Publications.
- [18] Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [19] Dalkıranoglu, T. (2006). *Çalışma yaşamında kadın işgücü ve cinsiyet ayrımcılığı: konaklama işletmelerinde bir uygulama*. Yayınlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Anadolu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Eskişehir.
- [20] Deveciyan, M. T. (2023). Topuklu ayakkabılardan çamurlu plastik çizmelere: erkek egemen sektörlerde kadın girişimciliği meri taksi deveciyan. *İstanbul Üniversitesi Kadın Araştırmaları Dergisi*, (27), 56-70.
- [21] Ellemers, N., Van den Heuvel, H., De Gilder, D., Maass, A., & Bonvini, A. (2004). The underrepresentation of women in science: Differential commitment or the queen bee syndrome?. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 43(3), 315-338.
- [22] Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1989). *Fourth generation evaluation*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- [23] Harvey, D. E., & Brown, D. R. (1996). *An Experiential Approach to Organization Development*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- [24] Hassink, W. H. J., & Russo, G. (2010). The glass door: The gender composition of newly-hired workers across hierarchical job levels. *IZA Discussion Papers*, 4858, 1-27.
- [25] Henley, W. R. (2010). *Concrete ceiling phenomena: Senior leadership progression of african american women within the intelligence community*. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Phoenix.
- [26] Hulls, P. M., Richmond, R. C., Martin, R. M., Chavez-Ugalde, Y., & de Vocht, F. (2022). Workplace interventions that aim to improve employee health and well-being in male-dominated industries: a systematic review. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 79(2), 77-87.
- [27] Hultin, M. (2003). Some take the glass escalator, some hit the glass ceiling? Career consequences of occupational sex segregation. *Work and Occupations*, 30(1), 30-61.
- [28] Ismail, M., & Ibrahim, M. (2008). Barriers to career progression faced by women: Evidence from a Malaysian multinational oil company. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 23(1), 51-66.
- [29] Kadının Güçlenmesi Strateji Belgesi ve Eylem Planı (2024-2028), <https://ulusaleylem.aile.gov.tr/media/tgrg2fqd/kadinin-guclenmesi-strateji-belgesi-ve-eylem-planı-2024-2028.pdf>, (Access date: 21.02.2025).
- [30] Kalof, L., Dan, A., & Dietz, T. (2008). EBOOK: *Essentials of social research*. McGraw-Hill Education Open University Press: UK.
- [31] Kanter, R. M. (1977). *Men and women of the corporation*. New York: Basic Books.

- [32] Karaduman, C. G., & Ergun, A. (2018). Erkek egemen iş ortamlarında kadın yöneticilerin deneyimleri ve stratejileri. *Sosyoloji Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 21(2), 114-143.
- [33] Karakaya, Ş., & Reyhanoglu, M. (2020). Erkek egemen dünyada kadın yönetici olmak: Kadın yönetici çevresi üzerine bir araştırma. *Journal of Politics Economy and Management*, 3(1), 47-74.
- [34] Korkmaz, H. (2014). Yönetim kademelerinde kadına yönelik cinsiyet ayrımcılığı ve cam tavan sendromu. *The Journal of Academic Social Science*, (5), 1-14.
- [35] Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985) *Naturalistic inquiry*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- [36] Martin, P. Y. (2006). Practising gender at work: Further thoughts on reflexivity. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 13(3), 254-276.
- [37] Maskell-Pretz, M., & Hopkins, W. E. (1997). Women in engineering: Toward a barrier-free work environment. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 13(1), 32-37.
- [38] Mills, A. J. (2016). *Gendering organizational analysis*. London: Sage Publications.
- [39] Narin, M., Marşap, A., & Gürol, M. A. (2006). Global kadın girişimciliğinin maksimizasyonunu hedefleme: uluslararası arenada örgütlenme ve ağ oluşturma. *Gazi Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 8(1), 65-78.
- [40] O'Brien, W., Hanlon, C., & Apostolopoulos, V. (2023). Women as leaders in male-dominated sectors: A bifocal analysis of gendered organizational practices. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 30(6), 1867-1884.
- [41] Özçelik, M. K. (2017). Çalışma hayatında kadının yeri ve kariyer gelişim engelleri. *Akademik Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 5(52), 49-70.
- [42] Palmer, Margaret & Beverly Hyman. (1993). *Yönetimde kadınlar*. (Çeviren: Vedat Üner). Rota Yayınları.
- [43] Parlaktuna, İ. (2010). Türkiye’de cinsiyete dayalı mesleki ayrımcılığın analizi. *Ege Akademik Bakış*, 10(4), 1217-1230.
- [44] Raghuram, P. (2008). Migrant women in male-dominated sectors of the labour market: a research agenda. *Population, space and place*, 14(1), 43-57.
- [45] Ridgeway, C. L. (2001). Gender, status, and leadership. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57(4), 637-655.
- [46] Ryan, M. K., & Haslam, S. A. (2005). The glass cliff: Evidence that women are over-represented in precarious leadership positions. *British Journal of Management*, 16(2), 81-90.
- [47] Sıgri, Ü. (2018). *Nitel araştırma yöntemleri*. Beta Basım Yayım Dağıtım, Ankara.
- [48] Sneed, B. G. (2007). Glass walls in state bureaucracies: Examining the difference departmental function can make. *Public Administration Review*, 67(5), 880-891.
- [49] Spinelli-De-Sa, J. G., Lemos, A. H. D. C., & Cavazotte, F. D. S. C. N. (2017). Making a career in a male-dominated field: the meaning of work for women employed in the financial markets. *RAM. Revista de Administração Mackenzie*, 18(4), 109-136.

- [50] TÜİK İşgücü İstatistikleri, II. Çeyrek: Nisan-Haziran, 2025, <https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Bulten/Index?p=Isgucu-Istatistikleri-II.-Ceyrek:-Nisan-Haziran,-202554070#:~:text=%C4%B0%C5%9Fsizlik%20oran%C4%B1%20erkeklerde%20%257%2C0,11%2C6%20olarak%20tahmin%20edildi.&text=%C4%B0stihdam%20edilenleri n%20say%C4%B1s%C4%B1%202025%20y%C4%B1%C4%B1,ile%20%48%2C9%20 oldu.,> (Access date: 21.02.2025).
- [51] Ulusal İstihdam Stratejisi Raporu (2025-2028), <https://www.csgeb.gov.tr/Media/y1vlqieo/ulusal-istihdam-stratejisi-2025-2028-1.pdf>, (Access date: 21.02.2025).
- [52] Wajcman, J. (1998). *Managing like a man: Women and men in corporate management*. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- [53] Wise, S., & Bond, S. (2003). Work-life policy: does it do exactly what it says on the tin?. *Women in management Review*, 18(1/2), 20-31.
- [54] World Employment and Social Outlook Trends 2025, https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/2025-01/WESO25_Trends_Report_EN.pdf, (Access date: 21.02.2025).
- [55] Yalom, M. (2022). *Antik çağlardan günümüze evli kadının tarihi* (A History of the Wife). Çitlembik Yayınları: İstanbul.